



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,872	06/05/2006	Ralph G. Jelic	33258/US/3	3930
20586 7590 09/08/2009 DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 4700 DENVER, CO 80202-5647				
EXAMINER				
RAMSEY, JEREMY C				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3634				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/08/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/581,872

Applicant(s)

JELIC ET AL.

Examiner

JEREMY C. RAMSEY

Art Unit

3634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 May 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 35, 37-39 and 49-51 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 35, 37-39 and 49-51 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/18/2009
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Response to Amendment

The following office action is in response to the amendment filed 05/28/2009. Claims 35, 37-39 and 49-90 are pending. Claims 35, 37-39, 49, 50 and 51 are rejected as set forth below.

Newly submitted claims 52-90 are directed to species that are independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 52-90 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. If a generic claim is found allowable, then dependent claims to other species will be rejoined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nien et al 2006/0081341.

In re claims 49 and 50, with reference to Figure 6, Nien et al '341 disclose a fabric for use in a covering comprising:

- A plurality of elongated vanes having an elongated flexible component (42) with first and second longitudinal ends.
- An elongated semi rigid component (44, with rigid bars inserted) with first and second longitudinal edges.
- Said first edges of said components being connected and said second edge of each flexible component being connected to an adjacent vane (44)
- Said second edge of each flexible component (42) is connected to an adjacent vane at the connection of the first edge of said components of said adjacent vane.

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Roy Re. 22311.

In re claim 51, with reference to Figures 1 and 2, Roy '311 discloses a fabric for use in a covering comprising:

- A flexible support structure (30) having an exterior surface.
- A plurality of parallel elongated vanes (26) at spaced locations along said support structure, all said vanes (26) being attached to the exterior surface of said support structure (30) (via the topmost vane) and being adapted to move in response to movement of said support structure and project from said exterior surface of said support structure when the fabric is moved between said extended and retracted positions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 35 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roy Re. 22311 in view of Schnebly 4,934,436.
3. In re claim 35 with reference to Figures 1 and 2, Roy '311 discloses a fabric for use in covering a building structure comprising:

- A flexible vertically extending support structure (30).
- A plurality of parallel elongated vanes (26) supported at spaced locations along the support structure (30), said vanes comprising semi-rigid slats secured to the support structure (30) to form an acute angle, wherein movement of said vanes is totally dependent on movement of the support structure (30).
- The fabric includes a top edge (42), bottom edge, the top edge fixed in an architectural opening and the other edge being vertically movable.

Roy '311 fails to disclose:

- The edge forms a continuous non-linear edge.
4. With reference to Figure 2, Schnebly '436 discloses:
- The edge forms a continuous non-linear edge.

5. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the edge of the fabric be in the form of a continuous non linear edge, as taught by Schnebly '436 in order to be deployed over a generally curvilinear window. (abstract)

6. In re claims 37-39, Roy/Schnebly discloses:

- A control system (30)(32) for moving said fabric between extended and retracted positions.
- Wherein the control system includes cords anchored to the top edge for moving the top edge toward the bottom edge. (Figure 4, Roy)

7. Roy/Schnebly fails to disclose:

- Wherein the control system includes cords anchored to the bottom edge for moving the bottom edge toward the top edge.

8. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the control system be attached to the bottom edge instead of the top edge since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working ^Parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35 and 37-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 51 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that all the vanes as disclosed by Roy are not attached to an exterior surface of the support structure, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The vanes are connected to the support structure via the entire vane system and therefore they all are attached to the support structure exterior surface.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEREMY C. RAMSEY whose telephone number is (571)270-3133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 am-4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on 571-272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,872
Art Unit: 3634

Page 7

/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3634

/Jeremy C Ramsey/
Examiner, Art Unit 3634